```
Case 3:10-cv-00534-MMD-VPC Document 80 Filed 05/17/12 Page 1 of 2
 1
2
3
4
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                             DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5
6
7
   JAMES D. OFELDT,
                                             3:10-cv-00534-ECR-VPC
8
        Plaintiff,
9
                                            Order
   vs.
10
   STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
11
        Defendants.
12
13
14
        On December 22, 2011, Plaintiff filed a "Request for Review by
15 District Court Judge, Pursuant to Rule 72(a) Fed. R. Civ. P. and 28
16 U.S.C. \$636 (b) (1)" (\#56). In that Motion (\#56), Plaintiff requests
17 that we review the Magistrate Judge's ruling on his motion to compel
   (#44) and reconsider that ruling. The Magistrate Judge held a
19 hearing on December 9, 2011, and granted in part and denied in part
20 \parallel (\#54) Plaintiff's motion to compel (\#44). The Magistrate Judge
21 ruled that several answers to interrogatories stand, and that
22 certain Defendants must supplement their answers.
23
        Plaintiff has shown no basis on which to overrule the
24 Magistrate Judge's rulings on non-dispositive discovery matters.
25 Without a showing that the Magistrate Judge's rulings were clearly
26 erroneous or contrary to the law, or that there is new evidence, we
  decline to overturn those rulings.
```

28

## IT IS, THEREFORE, HERBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion (#56) is **denied**. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Request a 4 Ruling (#77) is **DENIED** as moot. DATED: May 16, 2012.

Case 3:10-cv-00534-MMD-VPC Document 80 Filed 05/17/12 Page 2 of 2